



NEW YORK UNIVERSITY  
INSTITUTE OF  
MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES

DISCARD

# On Families of Sets Represented in Theories

HILARY PUTNAM

---

PREPARED UNDER  
CONTRACT NO. AF49(638)-777  
MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES DIRECTORATE  
AIR FORCE OFFICE OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH

ARIZONA STATE UNIVERSITY LIBRARY

AD 260956

REPRODUCTION IN WHOLE OR IN PART  
IS PERMITTED FOR ANY PURPOSE  
OF THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT.

New York University  
Institute of Mathematical Sciences

ON FAMILIES OF SETS REPRESENTED IN THEORIES

Hilary Putnam

ABSTRACT: A necessary and sufficient condition is given for a family of sets to be the family of all sets representable in a theory.

"Qualified requestors may obtain copies of this report from the ASTIA Document Service Center, Arlington Hall Station, Arlington 12, Virginia. Department of Defense contractors must be established for ASTIA services, or have their "need-to-know" certified by the cognizant military agency of their project or contract".

The research reported in this document has been sponsored by the Mathematical Sciences Directorate, Air Force Office of Scientific Research, Washington 25, D. C., under Contract No. AF 49(638)-777.



The purpose of this paper is to answer the following questions: (1) Let  $F$  be a family of sets<sup>1</sup>. What are necessary and sufficient conditions that  $F$  be the family of all sets represented in some consistent standard theory<sup>2</sup>? (2) What are necessary and sufficient conditions that  $F$  be the family of all sets represented in some consistent axiomatizable standard theory?<sup>3</sup>

I shall prove:

THEOREM 1.  $F$  is the family of all sets represented in some consistent standard theory if and only if  $F$  is closed under intersection, finite addition and subtraction<sup>4</sup>, and contains the null set and the "universal" set (i.e. the set  $N_n$  of all non-negative integers).

THEOREM 2.  $F$  is the family of all sets represented in some consistent axiomatizable standard theory if and only if  $F$  is a recursively enumerable family of recursively enumerable sets<sup>5</sup>;  $F$  contains the null set and the "universal" set; and  $F$  is closed under intersection and finite addition and subtraction.

As an example of a consequence of THEOREM 1, we may cite the fact that, since the  $\Pi_1$  sets (the sets whose complements are recursively enumerable) satisfy the closure conditions mentioned in the theorem, there exists a theory  $T$  with the property that all and only  $\Pi_1$  sets are represented in  $T$ . Similarly, it follows from THEOREM 2 that, since the recursive sets satisfy the conditions given (that they form a recursively enumerable family in the sense on n.5 was first proved by Dekker), there exists an axiomatizable theory  $T$  with the property that all and only recursive sets are

represented in  $T$ . This result has been previously obtained by Shoenfield (in a stronger form); and the result about  $\overline{\Pi}_1$  sets can likewise be obtained by a quite different construction than the one used here. However, it is of interest to see these results not as isolated curiosities, but as special cases of very general theorems.

1. General Remarks.  $\{F_1, F_2, \dots\}$  will be a family of sets which contains the null set, the "universal" set  $N_n$ , and is closed under intersection and finite addition and subtraction;  $P_1, P_2, \dots$  will be an infinite list of monadic predicate letters;  $\bar{n}$  will be the  $n$ th formal integer;  $T$  will be the theory whose axioms are  $\bar{n} \neq \bar{m}$  for each pair  $n, m$  such that  $n \neq m$ ;  $P_i(\bar{n})$  for each  $i, n$  such that  $n \in F_i$ ; and  $(x)(P_{i_1}(x) \&\dots\&P_{i_K}(x) \cdot v \cdot P_{j_1}(x) \&\dots\&P_{j_N}(x))$  for each pair  $\{P_{i_1}, \dots, P_{i_K}\}, \{P_{j_1}, \dots, P_{j_N}\}$  of disjoint finite sets ( $K \geq 1, N \geq 1$ ) of predicate letters from the list  $P_1, P_2, \dots$ ;  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n \vdash B$  will be used to mean (where  $n \geq 0$ ) that there is a proof of  $B$  from assumptions  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  in first order predicate calculus with identity; and  $\vdash_T B$  will mean that  $B$  is a theorem (valid sentence) of  $T$ .

2. Proofs. To prove Theorems 1 and 2 we need the following lemmas:

LEMMA 1: Let  $\{F_1, F_2, \dots\}$  be the family of all sets represented in some consistent standard theory  $S$ . Then  $F_1, F_2, \dots$  is closed under intersection, finite addition, and finite subtraction, and contains the null set and the universal set.

Proof: Closure under intersection is obvious, since if the w.f.f.



(well formed formula)  $A(x)$  represents  $F_i$  and  $B(x)$  represents  $F_j$ , then  $A(x) \neq B(x)$  represents  $F_i \cap F_j$ . The null set is represented by any self contradictory w.f.f. with one free variable; the universal set is represented by any valid w.f.f. with one free variable; and finally the sets  $F_i \cup \{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k\}$  and  $F_i - \{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k\}$  are represented by the formulas  $A(x) \vee x = \bar{n}_1 \vee \dots \vee x = \bar{n}_k$  and  $A(x) \neq x \neq \bar{n}_1 \neq \dots \neq x \neq \bar{n}_k$  respectively.

LEMMA 2:  $P_i$  represents  $F_i$  in  $T$ .

Proof: If  $n \in F_i$ , then  $P_i(\bar{n})$  is an axiom of  $T$ , and hence  $\vdash_T P_i(\bar{n})$ . Now suppose  $n \notin F_i$ , and consider the following interpretation of  $T$ : for all  $m$ ,  $\bar{m}$  designates  $n$ ;  $P_j$  is assigned the universal set as extension for  $j \neq i$ , and  $P_i$  is assigned as its extension the set  $Nn - \{n\}$ . This interpretation is a true interpretation of  $T$ , and according to it the sentence  $P_i(\bar{n})$  is false. Hence  $P_i(\bar{n})$  is not a theorem of  $T$ .

LEMMA 3. If  $\vdash_T P_{i_1}(x) \neq \dots \neq P_{i_M}(x) \supset A(x)$ , where  $M \geq 0^6$  and  $A(x)$  is a w.f.f. with one free variable, then  $A(x)$  represents one of the  $F_i$  in  $T$ .

Proof: (By course-of-values induction on  $M$ .) Suppose  $M = 0$ . Then  $\vdash_T A(x)$ ; hence  $A(x)$  represents  $Nn$ .

Suppose the lemma holds for  $M < N$ , and let  $\vdash_T P_{i_1}(x) \neq \dots \neq P_{i_N}(x) \supset A(x)$ . Let  $\bar{s}_1, \bar{s}_2, \dots, \bar{s}_k$  be all of the formal integers that occur in  $A(x)$ . If  $A(\bar{t})$  is never provable unless  $\vdash_T P_{i_1}(\bar{t}) \neq \dots \neq P_{i_N}(\bar{t})$  or  $t \in \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k\}$ , then  $A(x)$  represents a set that can be obtained from  $F_{i_1} \cap F_{i_2} \cap \dots \cap F_{i_N}$  by finite addition, and hence

one of the  $F_i$ . Now suppose that  $\vdash_T A(\bar{t})$ , where it is not the case that  $\vdash_T P_{i_1}(\bar{t}) \& \dots \& P_{i_N}(\bar{t})$ , and  $t \neq s_k$ . Let the following be all of the axioms needed for some proof of  $A(\bar{t})$  in  $T$ :

$P_{j_1}(\bar{t}), \dots, P_{j_U}(\bar{t}); \bar{t} \neq \bar{n}_1, \dots, \bar{t} \neq \bar{n}_j; A_1, \dots, A_S$ ; where the  $A_i$  are all of the axioms not containing  $\bar{t}$  used in the proof. If  $U = 0$ , then, by the Deduction Theorem,  $A_1, \dots, A_S \vdash \bar{t} \neq \bar{n}_1 \& \dots \& \bar{t} \neq \bar{n}_j \supset A(\bar{t})$ ; hence, since  $t$  does not occur in  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_S$ , and  $t$  is not one of the  $s_i$ ,  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_S \vdash (x)(x \neq \bar{n}_1 \& \dots \& x \neq \bar{n}_j \supset A(x))$ . Then  $\vdash_T (x)(x \neq \bar{n}_1 \& \dots \& x \neq \bar{n}_j \supset A(x))$ , and  $A(x)$  represents  $Nn - W$ , where  $W$  has to be a subset of  $\{n_1, \dots, n_j\}$ , and hence finite. On the other hand, if  $U \neq 0$ , then by a similar argument

$A_1, A_2, \dots, A_S \vdash (x)(P_{j_1}(x) \& \dots \& P_{j_U}(x) \supset (x \neq \bar{n}_1 \& \dots \& x \neq \bar{n}_j \supset A(x)))$ , and so  $\vdash_T P_{j_1}(x) \& \dots \& P_{j_U}(x) \supset (x \neq \bar{n}_1 \& \dots \& x \neq \bar{n}_j \supset A(x))$ . But we assumed  $\vdash_T P_{i_1}(x) \& \dots \& P_{i_N}(x) \supset A(x)$ , so

$$(1) \quad \vdash_T (P_{i_1}(x) \& \dots \& P_{i_N}(x) \cdot v. P_{j_1}(x) \& \dots \& P_{j_U}(x)) \supset (x \neq \bar{n}_1 \& \dots \& x \neq \bar{n}_j \supset A(x)).$$

If the  $P_i$ 's and the  $P_j$ 's are all distinct, then

$\vdash_T (x)(P_{i_1}(x) \& \dots \& P_{i_N}(x) \cdot v. P_{j_1}(x) \& \dots \& P_{j_U}(x))$ , and hence  $\vdash_T (x \neq \bar{n}_1 \& \dots \& x \neq \bar{n}_j \supset A(x))$  and  $A(x)$  represents  $Nn - w$ , where  $W$  is a finite set. And if the  $P_i$ 's and the  $P_j$ 's are not all distinct, then  $P_{i_1}(x) \& \dots \& P_{i_N}(x) \cdot v. P_{j_1}(x) \& \dots \& P_{j_U}(x)$  is quantificationally equivalent (in fact, equivalent by propositional calculus) to  $F_{k_1}(x) \& \dots \& P_{k_H}(x) \& (P_{i_r}(x) \& P_{i_r'}(x) \& \dots \& P_{i_r}(D)(x)) \cdot v. P_{j_s}(x) \& P_{j_s'}(x) \& \dots \& P_{j_s}(Q)(x)$ , where  $P_{k_1}, P_{k_2}, \dots, P_{k_H}$  are all

of the  $P$ 's that occur both among the  $P_i$  and among the  $P_j$ , while  $P_{i_r}, P_{i_{r'}}, P_{i_{r''}}, \dots, P_{i_r}(D)$  are the  $P_i$  that do not also occur among the  $P_j$ , and similarly  $P_{j_s}, P_{j_{s'}}, P_{j_{s''}}, \dots, P_{j_s}(Q)$  are the  $P_j$  that do not also occur among the  $P_i$ . Moreover,  $D$  cannot  $\equiv 0$  (otherwise  $\vdash_{T} P_{i_1}(\bar{t}) \&\dots\& P_{i_N}(\bar{t})$ , contrary to the choice of  $t$ ), and we may assume that  $Q \neq 0$  (since otherwise we would have  $U < N$ , and the lemma would follow by the induction hypothesis<sup>7</sup>). Thus  $(x)(P_{i_1}(x) \&\dots\& P_{i_r}(D)(x) \cdot v. P_{j_s}(x) \&\dots\& P_{j_s}(Q)(x))$  is an axiom of  $T$ , so that  $P_{i_1}(x) \&\dots\& P_{i_N}(x) \cdot v. P_{j_1}(x) \&\dots\& P_{j_U}(x)$  is provably equivalent to  $P_{k_1}(x) \&\dots\& P_{k_H}(x)$ , where  $H < N$ . Hence the lemma follows by the induction hypothesis and the fact that since (1) is a theorem of  $T$ ,  $\vdash_{T} P_{k_1}(x) \&\dots\& P_{k_H}(x) \supset (x \neq \bar{n}_1 \&\dots\& x \neq \bar{n}_j \supset A(x))$ .

**LEMMA 4.** The family of all sets represented in an axiomatizable theory is a recursively enumerable family of recursively enumerable sets.

**Proof:** Let the w.f.fs of  $S$  (where  $S$  is any axiomatizable theory) with one free variable be effectively listed as  $A_1(x), A_2(x), \dots$ . The predicate  $P(i, n) =_{df} \vdash_S A_i(\bar{n})$  is a recursively enumerable predicate (to verify this, assuming Church's Thesis, note that it can be written in the form  $(\exists x) \text{Prf}(x, n, i)$ , where  $\text{Prf}(x, n, i)$  is the decidable, and hence recursive, predicate " $x$  is the gödel number of a proof of the formula that results when  $\bar{n}$  is put for all occurrences of ' $x$ ' in  $A_i(x)$ ". Moreover,  $A_i(x)$  represents  $\{n \mid P(i, n)\}$ , or  $\{f(i)\}$  (cf. n. 5), where<sup>8</sup>  $f(i) = S_1^1(e, i)$  and  $e$  is a gödel number of  $P$ .



Proof of THEOREM 1. By LEMMA 1, we have "only if". To prove "if" (i.e., to show that the conditions given in the theorem are sufficient) we shall show that if  $\{F_1, F_2, \dots\}$  satisfies the conditions, then  $\{F_1, F_2, \dots\}$  is the family of all sets represented in  $T$  (where  $T$  is the theory mentioned in §1).

By LEMMA 2,  $F_i$  is represented in  $T$  (for  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ). So it suffices to show that for every w.f.f.  $A(x)$  of  $T$ ,  $A(x)$  represents one of the  $F_i$ . Accordingly, let  $A(x)$  be a w.f.f. of  $T$  with  $x$  as its only free variable, and let  $\bar{s}_1, \bar{s}_2, \dots, \bar{s}_k$  be all the formal integers that occur in  $A(x)$ . If  $\vdash_T A(\bar{t})$  only when  $t \in \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k\}$ , then  $A(x)$  represents a finite set, and hence one of the  $F_i$  (noting that all finite sets can be obtained from the null set by finite addition). Now suppose  $\vdash_T A(\bar{t})$  where  $t \notin \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k\}$ . Let the following be all of the axioms needed for some proof of  $A(\bar{t})$  in  $T$ :  $P_{i_1}(\bar{t}), \dots, P_{i_M}(\bar{t})$ ;  $\bar{t} \neq n_1, \dots, \bar{t} \neq n_j$ ;  $A_1, \dots, A_S$ ; where the  $A_k$  are all of the axioms not containing  $\bar{t}$  used in the proof. Then  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_S \vdash P_{i_1}(\bar{t}) \& \dots \& P_{i_M}(\bar{t}) \supset (\bar{t} \neq n_1 \& \dots \& \bar{t} \neq n_j \supset A(\bar{t}))$ ; hence  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_S \vdash P_{i_1}(x) \& \dots \& P_{i_M}(x) \supset (x \neq n_1 \& \dots \& x \neq n_j \supset A(x))$ ; and hence  $\vdash_T P_{i_1}(x) \& \dots \& P_{i_M}(x) \supset (x \neq n_1 \& \dots \& x \neq n_j \supset A(x))$ . Then by LEMMA 3,  $x \neq n_1 \& \dots \& x \neq n_j \supset A(x)$  represents one of the  $F_i$ , and hence  $A(x)$  represents one of the  $F_i$  (cf. n.7).

Proof of THEOREM 2. The proof is similar to the proof of THEOREM 1, except that LEMMA 4 must also be used for the "only if" part of the theorem, and we must note that what we have given for this case is a recursively enumerable set of axioms. The axiomatizability of  $T$  (in the sense of recursive axiomatizability) then follows by Craig's Theorem.



## FOOTNOTES

- 1) Terminology: In this paper "set" means set of non-negative integers, except when there is indication to the contrary. A formula  $P(x)$  (with one free variable  $x$ ) is said to "represent" a set  $S$  in a theory  $T$  if for all integers  $n$ ,  $n \in S$  if and only if  $P(\bar{n})$  is a theorem of  $T$  (N.B. it is not required that  $P(\bar{n})$  should be refutable in  $T$  --- i.e., that  $\sim P(\bar{n})$  should be provable in  $T$  --- when  $n \notin S$ ). The term "represent" comes from Undecidable Theories. ( $n \notin S$  is an abbreviation for  $\sim n \in S$ .)
- 2) By a "standard theory" I mean a "theory in standard formalization" in the sense in which that term is used in Undecidable Theories, in which there are terms (called formal integers in the sequel), say  $\bar{0}, \bar{1}, \bar{2}, \dots$  (which may be interpreted as designating  $0, 1, 2, \dots$ ) such that  $\bar{n} \neq \bar{m}$  is provable for all  $n, m$  such that  $n \neq m$ .
- 3) A theory in standard formalization is called "axiomatizable" in Undecidable Theories if the set of valid sentences is identical with the set of first-order consequences of some recursive subset (called the set of "axioms"). (Instead of "recursive" it would be better to say "solvable", in the sense of Post, since strictly speaking the recursiveness of a set of formulas depends upon the godel numbering employed, whereas "solvability" is defined directly for sets of expressions in any finite alphabet.)
- 4) A set  $B$  will be said to come from a set  $A$  by finite addition (resp. finite subtraction) if  $B = A \cup W$  (resp.  $A - W$ ) where  $W$  is a finite set.

5) Following Kleene, let  $\{n\}$  be the  $n$ th partial recursive function in the standard enumeration. (This notation is not to be confused with the notation  $\{n \mid \dots\}$ , for the set of all  $n$  satisfying the condition  $\dots$ , nor with the notation  $\{A_1, A_2, A_3, \dots\}$ , for the set consisting of  $A_1, A_2, A_3, \dots$ .) We shall identify each partial recursive function with its domain, for the purpose of enumerating the recursively enumerable sets: thus  $\{n\}$  will alternatively be thought of, where convenient, as "the  $n$ th recursively enumerable set, in the standard enumeration." A family  $F$  is called a "recursively enumerable family of recursively enumerable sets" if the members of  $F$  are  $\{t(0)\}, \{t(1)\}, \dots$ , for some general recursive function  $t$ .

6) If  $M = 0$ , the  $\supset$  is to be understood as deleted.

7) More precisely, it would follow from the induction hypothesis that  $x \neq \bar{n}_1 \dot{\vee} \dots \vee x \neq \bar{n}_j \supset A(x)$  represents one of the  $F_i$ . But  $x \neq n_1 \dot{\vee} \dots \vee x \neq n_j \supset A(x)$  represents a superset with at most finitely many more members than the set represented by  $A(x)$  (as is clear from the fact that this formula can also be written

$x = n_1 \vee x = \bar{n}_2 \vee \dots \vee x = \bar{n}_j \vee A(x)$  can be obtained from this  $F_i$  by finite subtraction. Hence  $A(x)$  also represents one of the  $F_i$  (since the  $F_i$  are closed under finite subtraction).

8)  $S_1^1(e, i)$  is a primitive recursive function whose value for any  $e, i$  is a Gödel number of  $\{x \mid P_e(i, x)\}$ , where  $P_e$  is the  $e$ th 2-place recursively enumerable predicate in the standard enumeration. This function is constructed in Introduction to Metamathematics.



## BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. A. Tarski, A. Mostowski, and R. M. Robinson, Undecidable Theories, Amsterdam, North-Holland Publishing Co., 1953.
2. S. C. Kleene, Introduction to Metamathematics, New York, Van Nostrand, 1952.

DISTRIBUTION LIST AIR FORCE OFFICE OF SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH

MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES DIRECTORATE

(ONE COPY UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED)

ALABAMA

Commander  
Army Rocket & Guided Missile  
Agency  
ATTN: ORDXR-OTL  
Redstone Arsenal, Alabama

BELGIUM

Commander (3)  
European Office, ARDC  
47 Rue Cantersteen  
Brussels, Belgium

CALIFORNIA

Applied Mathematics & Statistics  
Laboratory  
Stanford University  
Stanford, California

Department of Mathematics  
University of California  
Berkeley, California

Commander  
Air Force Flight Test Center  
ATTN: Technical Library  
Edwards Air Force Base,  
California

The Rand Corporation (2)  
Technical Library  
1700 Main Street  
Santa Monica, California

Commander  
1st Missile Division  
ATTN: Operation Analysis  
Office  
Vandenburg Air Force Base,  
California

CONNECTICUT

Department of Mathematics  
Yale University  
New Haven, Connecticut

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

Office of Naval Research (2)  
Department of the Navy  
ATTN: Code 432  
Washington 25, D.C.

Director  
Department of Commerce  
Office of Technical Services  
Washington 25, D.C.

Administrator (6)  
National Aeronautics and  
Space Administration  
ATTN: Documents Library  
1520 H Street, N. W.  
Washington 25, D.C.

Library  
National Bureau of Standards  
Washington 25, D.C.

Data Processing Systems Division  
National Bureau of Standards  
ATTN: Mr. Russel A. Kirsch  
Washington 25, D.C.

Applied Mathematics Division  
National Bureau of Standards  
Washington 25, D.C.

Headquarters, USAF  
Assistant for operations  
Analysis  
Deputy Chief of Staff,  
Operations, AF00A  
Washington 25, D.C.



Commander (2)  
Air Force Office of Scientific  
Research  
ATTN: SRM  
Washington 25, D.C.

Director  
U.S. Naval Research Laboratory  
ATTN: Library  
Washington 25, D.C.

National Science Foundation  
Program Director for Math-  
ematical Sciences  
Washington 25, D.C.

Commander, AFRD (2)  
ATTN: Technical Library  
Washington 25, D. C.

Canadian Joint Staff  
ATTN: DRB/DSIS  
2450 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C.

#### ILLINOIS

Department of Mathematics  
Northwestern University  
Evanston, Illinois

Laboratories for Applied  
Sciences  
University of Chicago  
Museum of Science and Industry  
ATTN: Library, W-305  
Chicago 37, Illinois

Department of Mathematics  
University of Chicago  
Chicago 37, Illinois

Department of Mathematics  
University of Illinois  
Urbana, Illinois

#### INDIANA

Department of Mathematics  
Purdue University  
Lafayette, Indiana

#### MARYLAND

Institute for Fluid Dynamics  
and Applied Mathematics  
University of Maryland  
College Park, Maryland

Mathematics and Physics Library  
The Johns Hopkins University  
Baltimore, Maryland

Director  
National Security Agency  
ATTN: Dr. H. H. Campaign  
Fort George G. Meade,  
Maryland

#### MASSACHUSETTS

Department of Mathematics  
Harvard University  
Cambridge 38, Massachusetts

Department of Mathematics  
Massachusetts Institute  
of Technology  
Cambridge 38, Massachusetts

Commander  
Detachment 2, AFRD  
ATTN: Technical Library  
L. G. Hanscom Field  
Bedford, Massachusetts

#### MICHIGAN

Department of Mathematics  
Wayne State University  
Detroit 1, Michigan

#### MINNESOTA

Department of Mathematics  
Folwell Hall  
University of Minnesota  
Minneapolis, Minnesota

Department of Mathematics  
Institute of Technology  
Engineering Building  
University of Minnesota  
Minneapolis, Minnesota

MISSOURI

Department of Mathematics  
Washington University  
St. Louis 8, Missouri

Department of Mathematics  
University of Missouri  
Columbia, Missouri

NEBRASKA

Commander  
Strategic Air Command  
ATTN: Operations Analysis  
Offutt Air Force Base  
Omaha, Nebraska

NEW JERSEY

The James Forrestal Research  
Center Library  
Princeton University  
Princeton, New Jersey

Library  
Institute for Advanced Study  
Princeton, New Jersey

Department of Mathematics  
Fine Hall  
Princeton University  
Princeton, New Jersey

Commanding General  
Signal Corps Engineering  
Laboratory  
ATTN: SIGFM/EL-RPO  
Ft. Monmouth, New Jersey

NEW MEXICO

Commander  
Air Force Missile Development  
Center  
ATTN: Technical Library, HDOI  
Holloman Air Force Base,  
New Mexico

Commander  
Air Force Special Weapons Center  
ATTN: Technical Library, SWOI  
Kirtland Air Force Base  
Albuquerque, New Mexico

NEW YORK

Professor J. Wolfowitz  
Mathematics Department  
White Hall  
Cornell University  
Ithaca New York

Department of Mathematics  
Syracuse University  
Syracuse, New York

Institute for Mathematical  
Sciences  
New York University  
ATTN: Professor M. Kline  
25 Waverly Place  
New York, New York

Institute for Aeronautical  
Sciences  
ATTN: Librarian  
2 East 64th Street  
New York 16, New York

NORTH CAROLINA

Department of Mathematics  
University of North Carolina  
Chapel Hill, North Carolina

Department of Statistics  
University of North Carolina  
Chapel Hill, North Carolina

Office of Ordnance Research (2)  
Box CM  
Duke Station  
Durham, North Carolina

Department of Mathematics  
Duke University  
Duke Station  
Durham, North Carolina



OHIO

P.O. Box AA  
Wright-Patterson Air Force  
Base  
Ohio

Commander  
Wright Air Development Division  
ATTN: WCOSI  
Wright-Patterson Air Force  
Base  
Ohio

Commander  
Aeronautical Research  
Laboratories  
ATTN: Technical Library  
Wright-Patterson Air Force  
Base  
Ohio

USAF Institute of Technology  
Library (2)  
ATTN: MCLI-ITLIB  
Building 125, Area B  
Wright-Patterson Air Force  
Base  
Ohio

PENNSYLVANIA

Department of Mathematics  
Carnegie Institute of Technology  
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

Department of Mathematics  
University of Pennsylvania  
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania

TENNESSEE

AEDC Library  
ARO, Inc.  
Arnold AF Station, Tennessee

U.S. Atomic Energy Commission  
Technical Information Service  
Extension  
P.O. Box 62  
Oak Ridge, Tennessee

TEXAS

Applied Mechanics Reviews (2)  
Southwest Research Institute  
8500 Culebra Road  
San Antonio 6, Texas

Department of Mathematics  
Rice Institute  
Houston, Texas

VIRGINIA

Armed Services Technical  
Information Agency (10)  
ATTN: TIPDR  
Arlington Hall Station  
Arlington 12, Virginia

WISCONSIN

Department of Mathematics  
University of Wisconsin  
Madison, Wisconsin

Mathematics Research Center,  
U.S. Army  
ATTN: R. E. Langer  
University of Wisconsin  
Madison, Wisconsin